Journal of Pollution Monitoring, Evaluation Studies and Control
ISSN: 3115-5723
J. Pollut. Monit. Eval. Stud. Control, 4(2): 133-138 (2025)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.54117/zxy9v533

The Impact of Pesticides on Soil Microbes and the Consequent Legal Implications

Nwakoby, I. P.[1*], Iheukwumere, I. H.[2*], Iheukwumere, C. M.[3], Nwakoby, N. E.[2], Idigo, M. A.[4] and Ike, V. E.[5]

[1]Department of Private and Public Law, Faculty of Law, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Anambra State, Nigeria.
[2]Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Anambra State, Nigeria.
[3]Department of Applied Microbiology & Brewing, Faculty of Biosciences, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria.
[4]Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Natural Science, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Anambra State, Nigeria.
[5]Department of Microbiology, University of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, Umuagwo, Imo State, Nigeria.

*Corresponding author e-mail address: ip.nwakoby@coou.edu.ng/ ik.iheukwumere@coou.edu.ng

Abstract

Soil microbial communities are the cornerstone of terrestrial ecosystem functioning, driving essential processes such as nutrient cycling, organic matter decomposition, soil structure formation, and carbon sequestration. The extensive application of pesticides in modern agriculture represents a significant anthropogenic pressure on these non-target organisms. This comprehensive review synthesizes current scientific evidence on the impacts of insecticides, herbicides, and fungicides on the diversity, composition, and functional capacity of soil microbial communities. We detail the complex, compound-specific effects, which range from acute toxicity and community shifts to induced microbial resistance and the disruption of symbiotic relationships. The review further explores how these biological impacts create a cascade of ecological consequences, ultimately affecting soil health, fertility, and agricultural sustainability. Critically, this paper argues that existing environmental legal frameworks, particularly pesticide registration procedures like those under the US Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and the EU Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, are inadequately equipped to assess and mitigate these complex microbial impacts. We identify significant gaps in regulatory testing requirements, which often prioritize acute toxicity to earthworms over comprehensive, long-term assessments of microbial community structure and function. The paper concludes by proposing a roadmap for legal and regulatory modernization, advocating for the integration of advanced molecular techniques (e.g., metagenomics, metatranscriptomics) into regulatory risk assessment, the adoption of more sophisticated soil health indicators, and the development of policies that incentivize the preservation of soil microbiome services for long-term agricultural resilience.

Keywords: Soil microbiome, pesticide impact, microbial diversity, environmental regulation, agricultural sustainability.

Article History
Received: 22 Sept 2025
Accepted: 18 Oct 2025
Published: 24 Oct 2025

Scan QR code to view•

How to cite this paper: Nwakoby, I. P., Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Nwakoby, N. E., Idigo, M. A., & Ike, V. E. (2025). The impact of pesticides on soil microbes and the consequent legal implications. *Journal of Pollution Monitoring, Evaluation Studies and Control*, *4*(2), 133–138. https://doi.org/10.54117/zxy9v533

1. Introduction

1.1. The Indispensable Role of Soil Microbes

Soil is not merely an inert growing medium but a complex, living ecosystem. At the heart of this ecosystem lies the soil microbiome—a vast consortium of bacteria, archaea, fungi, protozoa, and other microorganisms (Iheukwumere *et al*., 2025a; Iheukwumere *et al*., 2025b; Iheukwumere *et al*., 2025c). This microbial community is the engine of biogeochemical cycles, responsible for decomposing organic matter, fixing atmospheric nitrogen, solubilizing phosphorus, and sequestering carbon. Furthermore, microbes form symbiotic relationships with plant roots (e.g., mycorrhizal fungi and nitrogen-fixing bacteria), enhancing plant nutrient uptake and resilience to stress. The health, diversity, and functional integrity of the soil microbiome are directly correlated with soil fertility, structure, and overall agricultural productivity.

1.2. The Global Reliance on Pesticides

Since the mid-20th century, synthetic pesticides have become a cornerstone of intensive agriculture, aimed at maximizing yields by controlling weeds, insects, and fungal diseases. Global pesticide usage exceeds 4 million tons annually, with a significant portion ultimately reaching the soil through direct application, spray drift, or the decomposition of treated plants. While their efficacy in controlling pests is well-documented, a growing body of evidence highlights their unintended consequences on non-target organisms, particularly soil microbial communities.

1.3. Scope and Objectives of the Review

This review seeks to bridge a critical gap between soil microbial ecology and environmental law. While the scientific literature on pesticide effects on microbes is expanding, these findings have not been adequately translated into regulatory policy. This paper aims to:

1. Comprehensively review the impacts of major pesticide classes on soil microbial community structure and function.
2. Analyze the existing legal frameworks for pesticide registration and regulation in key jurisdictions (primarily the US and EU).
3. Expose the significant discrepancies and gaps between scientific understanding and regulatory requirements.
4. Explore the legal implications of these overlooked impacts, including potential liability and pathways for regulatory reform.
5. Propose a modernized, science-based framework for protecting soil microbial ecosystems through law and policy.

The Soil Microbiome: A Primer & Methodology

2. The Soil Microbiome: A Primer

2.1. Composition and Diversity

The soil microbiome is one of the most biodiverse communities on Earth, with a single gram of soil containing billions of microbial cells representing thousands of distinct species (Iheukwumere *et al.*, 2025d). This diversity is a key determinant of ecosystem stability and functional redundancy—the ability of multiple species to perform the same function, thereby providing resilience against disturbance.

2.2. Key Functional Roles

Decomposition: Saprophytic fungi and bacteria break down complex organic matter (crop residues, manure), releasing nutrients back into the soil in plant-available forms. **Nutrient Cycling: Nitrogen Cycle:** Specific bacteria perform nitrification (e.g., Nitrosomonas, Nitrobacter) and denitrification (Iheukwumere *et al.*, 2025e; Iheukwumere *et al.*, 2025f). Symbiotic rhizobia fix atmospheric N_2. **Phosphorus Cycle:** Mycorrhizal fungi and bacteria like Pseudomonas solubilize inorganic phosphorus (Iheukwumere *et al.*, 2025g; Iheukwumere *et al.*, 2025h). **Soil Structure:** Fungal hyphae and microbial secretions (e.g., glomalin from mycorrhizae) bind soil particles into aggregates, improving porosity, water retention, and erosion resistance.

Plant Health: Microbes can induce systemic resistance in plants, protect them from pathogens, and produce growth-promoting hormones (Iheukwumere *et al.*, 2025i).

2.3. Indicators of a Healthy Soil Microbiome

Key indicators include: high species and functional diversity; a strong fungal-to-bacterial ratio (often associated with more sustainable systems); high microbial biomass; and robust rates of nutrient cycling processes (e.g., soil respiration, potential nitrification rate).

3. Methodology of the Review

This review was conducted by systematically searching scientific databases (Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar) for peer-reviewed literature published between 2000 and 2023. Search terms included combinations of: ["pesticide" OR "herbicide" OR "insecticide" OR "fungicide"], ["soil microbiome" OR "soil microbial community" OR "soil bacteria" OR "soil fungi"], ["impact" OR "effect" OR "toxicity"], and ["regulation" OR "FIFRA" OR "1107/2009" OR "risk assessment"]. Government documents, regulatory guidelines from the EPA and EFSA, and legal commentaries were also analyzed to critique existing frameworks.

Impacts of Pesticides on Soil Microbes

4. Direct and Indirect Impacts of Pesticides on Soil Microbes

The impact of a pesticide is highly dependent on its chemical class, mode of action, dosage, persistence, and the soil's physicochemical properties.

4.1. Herbicides: Designed to disrupt plant-specific processes (e.g., photosynthesis, amino acid synthesis), but effects on microbes are common. Glyphosate, for instance, inhibits the EPSPS enzyme in the shikimic acid pathway, which is also present in bacteria and fungi. Studies show it can alter microbial community composition, favor glyphosate-degrading microbes, and suppress beneficial pseudomonads and mycorrhizal fungi, potentially disrupting nutrient cycling.

4.2. Insecticides: Often broad-spectrum neurotoxins. Organophosphates (e.g., chlorpyrifos) and neonicotinoids (e.g., imidacloprid) have been shown to inhibit soil enzymatic activities (dehydrogenase, phosphatase), reduce bacterial and fungal biomass, and shift community structure (Iheukwumere *et al.*, 2025j; Iheukwumere *et al.*, 2025k). Their sublethal effects can impair microbial communication and metabolic functions.

4.3. Fungicides: Pose the most direct threat to non-target soil fungi. Broad-spectrum fungicides like azoxystrobin and copper-based compounds can severely reduce the abundance and diversity of beneficial saprophytic and mycorrhizal fungi. This creates a vacuum that can be filled by pathogenic or resistant species, ultimately making plants more vulnerable. The repeated use of fungicides is a major driver of antimicrobial resistance in soil environments.

4.4. Influencing Factors: The impact is not uniform. Clay-rich soils with high organic matter can bind pesticides, reducing bioavailability. High moisture and temperature can increase microbial degradation rates but also pesticide leaching. Repeated applications have cumulative, long-term effects that are rarely captured in single-dose studies.

Ecosystem Consequences & Legal Frameworks

5. From Microbial Shifts to Ecosystem Consequences

Changes in the microbiome are not merely academic; they translate to tangible ecosystem-level effects:

Nutrient Cycling Disruption: A reduction in nitrifying bacteria can lead to nitrogen immobilization, making it less available to crops. Inhibition of phosphatase enzyme activity can lock away phosphorus (Iheukwumere *et al*., 2025l; Ekechukwu *et al*., 2025a; Ekechukwu *et al*., 2025b).

Symbiosis Breakdown: Suppression of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) reduces the effective root area of plants, increasing their dependence on synthetic fertilizers and reducing drought tolerance (Nwike *et al*., 2017).

Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR): Pesticides, particularly fungicides, act as selective agents, enriching for resistance genes in soil bacteria (Ekechukwu *et al*., 2025c; Dim *et al*., 2025a; Dim *et al*., 2025b; Dim *et al.*, 2025c). These genes can potentially transfer to human pathogens, contributing to the global AMR crisis.

Long-Term Soil Degradation: A less diverse and functional microbiome leads to a decline in soil organic matter, poorer aggregation, increased compaction, and reduced water-holding capacity—a negative feedback loop that undermines the very basis of agricultural production.

6. Overview of Legal Frameworks Governing Pesticides

6.1. United States: FIFRA

The Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) governs the registration, sale, and use of pesticides in the U.S., administered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Registrants must provide extensive data on a pesticide's chemistry, toxicity, and environmental fate. The EPA conducts a risk-benefit analysis, weighing any unreasonable adverse effects on the environment against the economic benefits of use.

6.2. European Union: Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

The EU's framework is more explicitly precautionary. It mandates that pesticides must not have any "harmful effects on human or animal health or any unacceptable effects on the environment (Ike *et al*., 2025a; Ike *et al*., 2025b; Ike *et al*., 2025c). This includes specific protections for groundwater and non-target species. Approval is based on hazard identification as much as risk assessment, leading to the banning of many substances permitted in other jurisdictions.

6.3. International Instruments

Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs): Bans or restricts pesticides that are persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic (e.g., DDT, chlordane).

Rotterdam Convention on Prior Informed Consent (PIC): Promotes shared responsibility in the international trade of hazardous chemicals, including pesticides.

The Regulatory Gap & Legal Implications

7. The Regulatory Gap: Why Microbial Impacts Are Overlooked

Despite these frameworks, the protection of soil microbes remains inadequate.

Current Testing Requirements: Guidelines (e.g., OECD, EPA) primarily require testing on a single, easily cultured earthworm species (*Eisenia fetida*) for acute toxicity. Nitrogen transformation tests are sometimes required but measure a single, aggregate function over a short period (28 days), missing nuanced community shifts.

Limitations of Single-Species Tests: These tests are ecologically simplistic. They cannot capture the complex interactions, functional redundancy, and diversity responses of microbial communities (Ike *et al*., 2025d; Ike *et al*., 2025e; Amadi *et al*., 2017).

Sublethal and Chronic Effects: Regulations focus on lethal endpoints (LD50). Sublethal effects on microbial gene expression, enzyme production, and communication—which are critical for ecosystem function—are ignored.

Ecosystem Function vs. Structure: Regulations do not require advanced sequencing to monitor changes in microbial taxonomy or genes. A pesticide could decimate keystone species without affecting short-term nitrogen transformation rates, creating a time-bomb of soil dysfunction.

8. Legal Implications of Scientific Findings

The failure of regulation to keep pace with science creates significant legal uncertainties.

Liability and "Failure to Warn": If a farmer can demonstrate significant yield decline or soil degradation linked to the long-term use of a registered pesticide that harmed the microbiome, a liability case against the manufacturer could be argued. The claim would be that the registrant knew or should have known of these risks and failed to adequately warn users.

Re-evaluation and Cancellation: Environmental groups can petition regulators (e.g., the EPA) to reopen the review of a pesticide based on new scientific evidence of harm to soil ecosystems. This can lead to new use restrictions or cancellation of registration.

The Precautionary Principle: In the EU, this principle provides a legal basis for denying or restricting approvals where scientific uncertainty about long-term environmental damage exists. Evidence of potential harm to soil microbiome services could trigger this principle.

Citizen Suits: Statutes like FIFRA allow citizens to sue the EPA for failing to perform a non-discretionary duty. This could be leveraged to force the agency to update its outdated soil ecotoxicology testing standards.

Case Studies & A Modernized Framework

9. Case Studies

9.1. Glyphosate: The most glaring example of the science-policy gap. Hundreds of studies show its impact on soil microbes, yet it remains widely registered. Regulatory decisions rely on industry-funded studies using outdated methods, while independent research using modern genomics reveals significant shifts. This discrepancy is at the heart of ongoing legal and public debates.

9.2. Neonicotinoids: Heavily restricted in the EU due to harm to pollinators, but their impacts on soil microbes (e.g., reducing decomposition and nutrient cycling organisms) have received less regulatory attention, demonstrating a taxonomic bias in protection.

9.3. Copper-Based Fungicides: Permitted in organic farming but accumulate in soils to toxic levels. They exert strong selective pressure, reducing microbial diversity and enriching for copper-resistant genes, posing a clear AMR risk (Ugwu *et al*., 2025a; Ugwu *et al*., 2025b; Ekesiobi *et al*., 2025) Regulations set arbitrary maximum permissible limits without considering the long-term microbial degradation of soil health.

10. Towards a Modernized Regulatory Framework

To protect the foundational resource of soil, regulatory modernization is urgent.

1. **Integrate Molecular Tools:** Mandate metagenomic and metatranscriptomic analysis as part of the registration dossier for pesticides to assess impacts on community structure and gene function.
2. **Functional Bioindicators:** Develop standardized tests for a suite of microbial functions: soil respiration, enzyme assays, mycorrhizal colonization potential, and quantification of N-cycle genes.
3. **Tiered Testing:** Implement a tiered approach. If a substance shows effects in simple lab tests, it must undergo more complex mesocosm or field studies to assess long-term, real-world impacts.
4. **Incentivize Alternatives:** Shift policy focus from chemical-centric to system-centric. Support and subsidize agroecological practices (cover cropping, reduced tillage, crop rotation) that build robust microbial communities and naturally suppress pests, reducing dependency on pesticides.

10. Conclusion and Future Perspectives

The evidence is clear: pesticides exert profound and often detrimental effects on the soil microbiome, with cascading consequences for soil health, ecosystem functioning, and long-term agricultural sustainability. The current legal and regulatory frameworks in major jurisdictions are ill-equipped to address this challenge, relying on archaic and ecologically simplistic testing methods that ignore the complexity of soil life.

Bridging this chasm between microbial ecology and environmental law is one of the most critical tasks for achieving sustainable agriculture. Regulators must urgently adopt a more holistic definition of "soil ecotoxicology" that values microbial diversity and function. This requires embracing 21st-century scientific tools and the precautionary principle to ensure that the short-term benefits of pest control do not come at the irreversible long-term cost of degrading the living soil upon which all future food security depends. The legal implications of inaction—from liability lawsuits to the collapse of ecosystem services—are too significant to ignore.

References

Aktar, Waheda, Sengupta, Dhanya, and Chowdhury, Ashim. (2009). Impact of pesticides use in agriculture: Their benefits and hazards. *Interdisciplinary Toxicology, 2*(1), 1–12.

Amadi, R.E., Iheukwumere, I.H. and Unaeze, B.C. (2017). Effects Of Crude Alkaloid Extracted From Ocimum Gratissimum On The Activity Of Ciprofloxacin Against Salmonella Enterica Serovar Typhi. *Advances in Life Science and Technology* 58.

Bünemann, Else Katharina, Schwenke, Gavin David, and Van Zwieten, Lukas. (2006). Impact of agricultural inputs on soil organisms—A review. *Australian Journal of Soil Research, 44*(4), 379–406.

Cycoń, Michał, Mrozik, Agnieszka, and Piotrowska-Seget, Zofia. (2019). Antibiotics in the soil environment—Degradation and their impact on microbial activity and diversity. *Frontiers in Microbiology, 10*, 338.

Dim, C. N., Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Ugwu, C. H., Ike, V. E., Ezendianefo, . J. N., Egbe, P. A., Oragwu, I. P., Orji, C. C., Ogbonnaya, O. C., Onwuasoanya, U. F., Okereke, F. O., Oduenyi, P. M., & Ochibulu, S. C. (2025a). Multiple Antibiotic Resistance Bacterial Strains in Frozen Meat Sold at Abagana, Anambra State: A Public Health Concern. *IPS Journal of Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *4*(3), 181–186. https://doi.org/10.54117/ijamb.v4i3.75

Dim, C. N., Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Ugwu, C. H., Ike, V. E., Ezendianefo, J. N., Egbe, P. A., Oragwu, I. P., Orji, C. C., Ogbonnaya, O. C., Onwuasoanya, U. F., Okereke, F. O., Oduenyi, P. M., & Ochibulu, S. C. (2025b). The Burden of Antibiotic Resistance: Evaluating the Impact of Multiple Antibiotic-Resistant Enteric Bacteria in Academic Environments. *IPS Interdisciplinary Journal of Biological Sciences*, *4*(4), 144–149. https://doi.org/10.54117/iijbs.v4i4.78

Dim, C. N., Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Ugwu, C. H., Ike, V. E., Ezendianefo, J. N., Egbe, P. A., Oragwu, I. P., Orji, C. C., Ogbonnaya, O. C., Onwuasoanya, U. F., Okereke, F. O., Oduenyi, P. M., & Ochibulu, S. C. (2025c). Antimicrobial resistance in aquaculture: evaluating pseudomonas aeruginosa from fish ponds. *IPS Intelligentsia Multidisciplinary Journal*, *4*(1), 32–36. https://doi.org/10.54117/iimj.v4i1.10

Ekechukwu, C. C., Umeh, S. O., Iheukwumere, I. H., & Iheukwumere, C. M. (2025a). Bacterial Loads of Smoked Fish and Chicken: Role of pH and Moisture Content. *IPS Applied Journal of Nutrition, Food and Metabolism Science*, 3(1), 44–49. https://doi.org/10.54117/iajnfms.v3i1.102.

Ekechukwu, C. C., Umeh, S. O., Iheukwumere, I. H., & Iheukwumere, C. M. (2025b). Biological Inhibition of Pathogenic Bacteria Isolated from Smoked Fish and Chicken: An In Vitro Study. IPS Interdisciplinary Journal of Biological Sciences, 4(2), 85–92. https://doi.org/10.54117/iijbs.v4i2.50.

Ekechukwu, C. C., Umeh, S. O., Iheukwumere, I. H., & Iheukwumere, C. M. (2025c). Prophylactic Potential of the Most Potent Synergistic Biological Agent against Bacterial Infections from Smoked Fish and Chicken. IPS Journal of Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 4(2), 153–160. https://doi.org/10.54117/ijamb.v4i2.57.

Ekesiobi, A. O., Iheukwumere, C. M., Iheukwumere, I. H., Ejike, C. E., Ilechukwu, C. C., Ike, V. E., Okereke, F. O., & Ochibulu, S. C. (2025). Hyping the Inhibitory Activity of Xylopia aethiopica against Vibrio cholerae using Azithromycin. IPS Journal of Basic and Clinical Medicine, 2(3), 93–98. https://doi.org/10.54117/ijbcm.v2i3.16

European Commission. (2009). *Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market.*

Food and Agriculture Organization. (2017). *Soil pollution: A hidden reality*. Rome.

Givaudan, Nathalie, Binet, Franck, Le Bot, Béatrice, and Wiest, Laurent. (2014). The herbicide glyphosate affects soil microbial communities and their metabolic capacities. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *21*(13), 7978–7989.

Iheukwumere, I. H., Ajeh, J. C., Iheukwumere, C. M., Ike, V. E., Obianom, A. O., Ihenatuoha, U. A. ., Igboanugo, E. U., Onwuasoanya, U. F., Okereke, F. O., Nnadozie, C. H., Agbaugo, C. F., Nwike, M. I., Nwakoby, N. E., & Ilechukwu, C. C. (2025l). Exploring the Phytochemical and Antimicrobial Properties of Fruit Vinegar: A Study on Phoenix Dactylifera and Malus Sylvestris. *IPS Journal of Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 4(1), 115–122. https://doi.org/10.54117/ijamb.v4i1.48

Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Idigo, M. A., & Ezekwueche, S. N. (2025a). *Lactobacillus* fermentation of chicken feather: Impact on structural development and immune system of albino Wistar rats. *IPS Applied Journal of Nutrition, Food and Metabolism Science*, *3*(2), 75–83. https://doi.org/10.54117/qabcj082

Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Idigo, M. A., & Ezekwueche, S. N. (2025b). Evaluation of *Lactobacillus* fermented chicken feather meal on blood lipoproteins and lymphocyte count in rats. *IPS Journal of Nutrition and Food Science*, *4*(4), 569–577. https://doi.org/10.54117/012d8612

Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Idigo, M. A., & Ezekwueche, S. N. (2025c). Exploring the impact of *Lactobacillus*-fermented chicken feather on organ weights and functions in albino Wistar rats. *IPS Journal of Toxicology*, *3*(3), 68–75. https://doi.org/10.54117/zc1h5865

Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Idigo, M. A., & Ezekwueche, S. N. (2025d). Corollary of Lactobacillus Fermented Chicken Feather on Organ-Weight and Leukocyte Indices of Broiler Chicks. *IPS Intelligentsia Multidisciplinary Journal*, *4*(1): 46-53. https://doi.org/10.54117/iimj.v4i1.12

Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Idigo, M. A., & Ezekwueche, S. N. (2025e). Fermented Chicken Feather Meal as a Potential Feed Supplement: Effects on Body Weight and Immune Function. *IPS Intelligentsia Multidisciplinary Journal*, *4*(1), 37–45. https://doi.org/10.54117/iimj.v4i1.11

Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Idigo, M. A., & Ezekwueche, S. N. (2025f). Corollary of Lactobacillus Fermented Chicken Feather on Growth Performance of Rats. *IPS Journal of Biotechnology and Applied Biochemistry*, *1*(2), 57–65. https://doi.org/10.54117/ijbab.v1i2.85

Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Idigo, M. A., & Ezekwueche, S. N. (2025g). Corollary of Lactobacillus Fermented Chicken Feather on Growth Performance of Rats. *IPS Journal of Biotechnology and Applied Biochemistry*, *1*(2), 57–65. https://doi.org/10.54117/ijbab.v1i2.85

Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Idigo, M. A., & Ezekwueche, S. N. (2025h). Fermented Chicken Feather as a Sustainable Feed Ingredient: Effects on Broiler Chick Health and Growth. *IPS Interdisciplinary Journal of Biological Sciences*, *4*(4), 157–165. https://doi.org/10.54117/iijbs.v4i4.84

Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Idigo, M. A., & Ezekwueche, S. N. (2025i). Assessment of Fermented Corn Mixed with Fish Meal as a Chicken Additive for Healthy Broiler Chicks. *Journal of Agriculture, Food Technology and Sustainability*, *2*(1), 60–68. https://doi.org/10.54117/jafts.v2i1.82

Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Idigo, M. A., & Ezekwueche, S. N. (2025j). Evaluation of Fermented Corn Residue as a Growth Promoter in Broiler Chicken Diets. *Journal of Agriculture, Food Technology and Sustainability*, *2*(1), 69–77. https://doi.org/10.54117/jafts.v2i1.83

Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Obianom, A. O., Nnadozie, C. H., Okereke, F. O., Onwuasoanya, U. F., … Destiny, E. C. (2025k). Cross-Sectional Study of Major Strains of Salmonella enterica Subspecies Enterica Serovar Typhi among Borehole Used in Uli Community. IPS Journal of Public Health, 5(2), 205–210. https://doi.org/10.54117/ijph.v5i2.40.

Ike, V. E., Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Dim, C. N., Ezendianefo, J. N., Egbe, P. A., Oragwu, I. P., Orji, C. C., Ogbonnaya, O. C., Onwuasoanya, U. F., Okereke, F. O., & Ochibulu, S. C. (2025a). Prevalence of Bacillus cereus in Powdered Soybean Sold in Uli Community, Anambra State: A Cross-Sectional Study. *IPS Journal of Basic and Clinical Medicine*, *2*(3), 108–114. https://doi.org/10.54117/ijbcm.v2i3.18

Ike, V. E., Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Dim, C. N., Ezendianefo, J. N., Egbe, P. A., Oragwu, I. P., Orji, C. C., Ogbonnaya, O. C., Onwuasoanya, U. F., Okereke, F. O., & Ochibulu, S. C. (2025b). *Bacillus cereus in Uli's cornflour: A prevalence study*. *IPS Journal of Nutrition and Food Science*, *4*(3), 544–548. https://doi.org/10.54117/8btte840

Ike, V. E., Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Dim, C. N., Ezendianefo, J. N., Egbe, P. A., Oragwu, I. P., Orji, C. C., Ogbonnaya, O. C., Onwuasoanya, U. F., Okereke, F. O., & Ochibulu, S. C. (2025c). Pathogenic Profile Analysis: In Vitro Screening of Enteric Bacteria from University Dusters. *IPS Journal of Applied Microbiology*

and Biotechnology, *4*(3), 187–191. https://doi.org/10.54117/ijamb.v4i3.76
Ike, V. E., Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Dim, C. N., Ezendianefo, J. N., Egbe, P. A., Oragwu, I. P., Orji, C. C., Ogbonnaya, O. C., Onwuasoanya, U. F., Okereke, F. O., & Ochibulu, S. C. (2025d). Frozen Fish Pathogens: Antimicrobial Resistance and Public Health Implications. *IPS Interdisciplinary Journal of Biological Sciences*, *4*(4), 138–143. https://doi.org/10.54117/iijbs.v4i4.77
Ike, V. E., Iheukwumere, I. H., Iheukwumere, C. M., Dim, C. N., Ezendianefo, J. N., Egbe, P. A., Oragwu, I. P., Orji, C. C., Ogbonnaya, O. C., Onwuasoanya, U. F., Okereke, F. O., & Ochibulu, S. C. (2025e). Stream water quality assessment: Antibiotic resistance of Lac-positive enteric bacterial isolates. *Journal of Pollution Monitoring, Evaluation Studies and Control*, *4*(2), 120–125. https://doi.org/10.54117/jpmesc.v4i2.21.2025
Jacobsen, Carsten S., and Hjelmsø, Mathias H. (2014). Agricultural soils, pesticides and microbial diversity. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, *27*, 15–20.
Jansson, Janet K., and Hofmockel, Kirsten S. (2020). Soil microbiomes and climate change. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, *18*(1), 35–46.
Jeffrey, Simone, and Gardi, Carlo. (2010). *Soil biodiversity and soil organic carbon: Keeping drylands alive*. IUCN.
Lauber, Christian L., Ramirez, Karina S., Aanderud, Zachary, Lennon, Jay, and Fierer, Noah. (2013). Metagenomic analysis of soil microbial communities. In Graeme R. Dixon and Edward L. Tilston (Eds.), *Soil microbiology and sustainable crop production* (pp. 45–63). Springer, Dordrecht.
Muñoz-Leoz, Beatriz, Ruiz-Romera, Estibaliz, Antigüedad, Iñaki, and Garbisu, Carlos. (2013). Non-target effects of three formulated pesticides on microbially-mediated processes in a clay-loam soil. *Science of The Total Environment*, *449*, 345–354.
Nwike, M.I., Iheukwumere, I.H. and Uneze, B.C. (2017). Effect of Spices, pH and Temperature on the Survival and Multiplication of Staphylococcus aureus in Locally Made Soya Milk Drink. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research* 7(4):1-9
Oliver, David P., Kookana, Rai S., and Miller, Ross B. (2016). The impacts of pesticides on the soil microbial community. In Ajay Singh, Shashi Singh, and Rakesh Tripathi (Eds.), *Environmental science and engineering* (pp. 109–124). Springer, Cham.
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2000). *Guideline for testing of chemicals No. 216: Soil microorganisms: Nitrogen transformation test.*
Pimentel, David. (2005). Environmental and economic costs of the application of pesticides primarily in the United States. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, *7*(2), 229–252.
Pretty, Jules, and Bharucha, Zareen P. (2015). Integrated pest management for sustainable intensification of agriculture in Asia and Africa. *Insects*, *6*(1), 152–182.
Riah, Wafa, Laval, Karine, Laroche-Ajzenberg, Emilie, Mougin, Christian, Latour, Xavier, and Trinsoutrot-Gattin, Isabelle. (2014). Effects of pesticides on soil enzymes: A review. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, *12*(2), 257–273.
Rodríguez-Eugenio, Natalia, McLaughlin, Michael, and Pennock, Daniel. (2018). *Soil pollution: A hidden reality*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
Schimel, Joshua, and Schaeffer, Shannon M. (2012). Microbial control over carbon cycling in soil. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *3*, 348.
Schloter, Michael, Nannipieri, Paolo, Sørensen, Søren J., and van Elsas, Jan Dirk. (2018). Microbial indicators for soil quality. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, *54*(1), 1–10.
World Health Organization (WHO). (2009). WHO Guidelines for Indoor Air Quality: Dampness and Mould*. WHO Regional Office for Europe.

• *Thanks for publishing with IPS Intelligentsia*.